arXiv:1610.01346v1 [math.KT] 5 Oct 2016

The Generalized Slices of Hermitian K-Theory

Tom Bachmann
tom.bachmann@zoho.com

October 6, 2016

Abstract

We compute the generalized slices (as defined by Spitzweck-Østvær) of the motivic spectrum KO (representing hermitian K-theory) in terms of motivic cohomology and (a version of) generalized motivic cohomology, obtaining good agreement with the situation in classical topology and the results predicted by Markett-Schlichting. As an application, we compute the homotopy sheaves of (this version of) generalized motivic cohomology, which establishes a version of a conjecture of Morel.

1 Introduction

K-theory was invented by algebraic geometers and taken up by topologists. As a result of Bott-periodicity, the homotopy groups of the (topological) complex K-theory spectrum KU are alternatingly $\mathbb{Z}$ and 0. Consequently the (sped up) Postnikov tower yields a filtration of KU with layers all equal to the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $H\mathbb{Z}$ (which is also the zeroth Postnikov-layer of the topological sphere spectrum). From this one obtains the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, which has the singular cohomology of a space X on the E_2 page and converges to the (higher) topological K-theory of X.

Much research has been put into replicating this picture in algebraic geometry. In its earliest form, this meant trying to find a cohomology theory for algebraic varieties called *motivic cohomology* which is related via a spectral sequence to higher algebraic K-theory. There is now a very satisfactory version of this picture. The motivic analog of the stable homotopy category **SH** is the motivic stable homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ [12, Section 5]. Following Voevodsky [25, Section 2], this category is filtered by effectivity, yielding a kind of $\mathbb{G}_m$-Postnikov tower called the *slice filtration* and denoted

$$\cdots \to f_{n+1}E \to f_nE \to f_{n-1}E \to \cdots \to E.$$

The cofibres $f_{n+1}E \to f_nE \to s_nE$ are called the *slices* of E, and should be thought of as one kind of replacement of the (stable) homotopy groups from classical topology in motivic homotopy theory.

In $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ there are (at least) two special objects (for us): the sphere spectrum $S \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ which is the unit of the symmetric monoidal structure, and the algebraic K-theory spectrum $KGL \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ representing algebraic K-theory. One may show that up to twisting, all the slices of KGL are isomorphic, and in fact isomorphic to the zero slice of S [7, Sections 6.4 and 9]. Putting $H_\mu\mathbb{Z} = s_0 S$, this spectrum can be used to *define* motivic cohomology, and then the sought-after picture is complete.

Nonetheless there are some indications that the slice filtration is not quite right in certain situations. We give three examples. (1) We have said before that the homotopy groups of KU are alternatingly given by $\mathbb{Z}$ and 0. Thus in order to obtain a filtration in which all the layers are given by $H\mathbb{Z}$, one has to "speed up" the Postnikov filtration by slicing "with respect to S^2 instead of S^1". Since the slice filtration is manifestly obtained by slicing with respect to $\mathbb{G}_m$ which is (at best) considered an analogue of S^1, and yet the layers are already given at double speed, something seems amiss. (2) In classical topology there is another version of K-theory, namely the K-theory of real (not complex) vector bundles, denoted KO. There is also Bott-periodicity, this time resulting in the computation that the homotopy groups of KO are given by $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2, 0, \mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, 0$ and then repeating periodically. There is an analogue of topological KO in algebraic geometry, namely *hermitian K-theory* [5] and (also) denoted $KO \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$. This satisfies an appropriate form of Bott-periodicity, but this is not captured accurately by its slices, which are also very different from the topological analog [19]. (3) The slice filtration does not always *converge*. Thus just considering slices is not enough, for example, to determine if a morphism of spectra is an isomorphism.

Problem (3) has lead Spitzweck-Østvær [22] to define a refined version of the effectivity condition yielding the slice filtration which they call being "very effective". In this article we shall argue that their filtration also solves issues (1) and (2).

To explain the ideas, recall that the category $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ is the localising (so triangulated!) subcategory generated by objects of the form $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ for $X \in Sm(k)$ (i.e. no desuspension by $\mathbb{G}_m$). Then one defines $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}(n) = \mathbf{SH}(k) \wedge T^{\wedge n}$ and for $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ the n-effective cover $f_n E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}(n)$ is the universal object mapping to E. (Note that since $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ is triangulated, we have $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}} \wedge T^{\wedge n} = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}$.) In contrast, Spitzweck-Østvær define the subcategory of very effective spectra $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{veff}}$ to be the subcategory generated under homotopy colimits and extensions by $\Sigma^\infty X_+ \wedge S^n$ where $X \in Sm(k)$ and $n \geq 0$. This subcategory is *not* triangulated! As before we put $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{veff}}(n) = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{veff}} \wedge T^{\wedge n}$. (Note that now, crucially, $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{veff}} \wedge T^{\wedge n} \neq \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{veff}} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}$.) Then as before the very n-effective cover $\tilde{f}_n E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{veff}}(n)$ is the universal object mapping to E. The cofibres $\tilde{f}_{n+1} E \to \tilde{f}_n E \to \tilde{s}_n E$ are called the *generalized slices* of E.

As pointed out by Spitzweck-Østvær, the connectivity of $\tilde{f}_n E$ *in the homotopy t-structure* increases with n, so the generalized slice filtration automatically converges. Moreover it is easy to see that $\tilde{f}_n KGL = f_n KGL$ (i.e. the n-effective cover of KGL is "accidentally" already very n-effective) and thus

$\tilde{s}_n KGL = s_n(KGL)$. This explains how the generalized slice filtration solves problem (1): we see that the "$\mathbb{G}_m$-slices" (i.e. ordinary slices) of KGL agree "by accident" with the "T-slices" (i.e. generalized slices). But note that T is an analogue of S^2, explaining the double-speed convergence.

The main point of this article is that the generalized slices of KO can be computed, and have a form which is very similar to the classical analogue, thus solving problem (2). Of course this leads to Atiyah-Hirzebruch type spectral sequences for Hermitian K-theory. Heuristically, the generalized slices of KO are (supposed to be) like the S^2-Postnikov layers of the topological spectrum KO. We thus expect that they are 4-periodic (up to twist). Moreover we expect that $\tilde{s}_i$ for $i \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod 4$ should just "accidentally" be ordinary zero-slices (corresponding to the fact that $\pi_i KO = 0$ for $i = 3, 5, 7$), whereas $\tilde{s}_0 KO$ should be an extension of two objects (corresponding to $\pi_1 KO \neq 0 \neq \pi_2 KO$). This is indeed the case:

Theorem (see Theorem 10)**.** *The generalized slices of Hermitian K-theory are given as follows:*

$$\tilde{s}_n KO \simeq T^{\wedge n} \wedge \begin{cases} \tilde{s}_0(KO) & n \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2 & n \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ H_\mu \mathbb{Z} & n \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \\ 0 & n \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

What about the "conglomerate" $\tilde{s}_0 KO$? We offer two ways of decomposing it, either using the effectivity (slice) filtration or using the homotopy t-structure. The relevant triangles are

$$H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2[1] \to \tilde{s}_0 KO \to \tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$$

$$H_W \mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{s}_0 KO \to H_\mu \mathbb{Z}.$$

See Lemma 6 and Theorem 10 again. Here $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ is a spectrum which we call *generalized motivic cohomology*, and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$ is a spectrum which we call *Witt-motivic cohomology*. They can be characterised abstractly as the effective covers of certain objects in the heart of the homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)$.

The boundary maps in the above two triangles are very interesting and will be subject of further investigation. Also the computation of generalized slices of other spectra is an interesting topic which we shall take up in future work.

Relationship to Other Works. All our computations are done abstractly in the motivic homotopy category. This is not really satisfactory, since in general it is essentially impossible to compute cohomology with coefficients in some abstract spectrum. For the motivic spectral sequence, there is a parallel and much more computational story to the one we have outlined above: Voevodsky has defined motivic cohomology via a category $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ which is reasonably computable [9], and in fact motivic cohomology in this sense coincides with motivic cohomology in the sense of higher Chow groups [9, Theorem 19.1] which

is certainly very explicit. Grayson has defined an explicit spectral sequence converging to algebraic K-theory [4, Section 5]. Work of Voevodsky [27] and Levine [7] shows that the explicit definitions of motivic cohomology mentioned above agree with the abstract definition $H_\mu\mathbb{Z} = s_0 S$. Work of Suslin [23] shows that the Grayson spectral sequence has layers given by the explicit form of motivic cohomology, which by what we just said is the same as the abstract form. Work of Garkusha-Panin [2] shows that the abstract and explicit motivic spectral sequences agree.

A similar picture is expected for Hermitian K-theory. Calmès-Fasel [1] have defined a variant $\widetilde{\mathbf{DM}}(k)$ of $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ and an associated theory $\tilde{H}'\mathbb{Z}$ which they call generalized motivic cohomology. Markett-Schlichting [**?**] have defined a version of the Grayson filtration for Hermitian K-theory and they hope to show that the layers are of the same form as in our Theorem 10, with $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ replaced by $\tilde{H}'\mathbb{Z}$. The author contends that it will eventually be shown that $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} = \tilde{H}'\mathbb{Z}$ and that the Market-Schlichting spectral sequence coincides with the generalized slice spectral sequence.

TODO: missing citation

We note that an obvious modification of the Calmés-Fasel construction yields a spectrum $H'_W\mathbb{Z}$. Again the author contends that $H'_W\mathbb{Z} = H_W\mathbb{Z}$, but this is not currently known.

More about $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$. In the mean time, we propose to study the spectra $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$ abstractly. Taking intuition from classical topology, i.e. comparing the two decompositions of $\tilde{s}_0 KO$ with $(\pi_1 KO, \pi_0 KO) = (\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z})$ we see that $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ should be a "variant" of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$ should be a "variant" of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. This is a familiar game in motivic homotopy theory: the standard unoriented variant of $\mathbb{Z}$ is the homotopy module $\underline{K}^{MW}_*$ of Milnor-Witt K-theory, i.e. $\underline{\pi}_0 S_*$, and the standard unoriented variant of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is the homotopy module $\underline{K}^W_* = \underline{K}^{MW}_*/h$ of Witt K-theory [15, Chapter 3]. (The standard oriented variants are Milnor K-theory $\underline{K}^M_*$ and its mod-2 version.) Thus the following result confirms a very optimistic guess:

Theorem (Morel's Structure Conjecture; see Theorem 11)**.** *The homotopy sheaves of $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$ are given as follows:*

$$\underline{\pi}_i(\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z})_* = \begin{cases} \underline{K}^{MW}_* & i{=}0 \\ \underline{\pi}_i(H_\mu\mathbb{Z})_* & i \neq 0 \end{cases} \qquad \underline{\pi}_i(H_W\mathbb{Z})_* = \begin{cases} \underline{K}^{W}_* & i{=}0 \\ \underline{\pi}_i(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_* & i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Organisation of this Article. In Section 2 we collect some results about the category $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}$ of effective spectra. In particular we show that it carries a t-structure, show that the effectivization functor $r : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}$ is exact, and provide some results about the heart $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$. Note that by definition $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{veff}} = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\geq 0}$.

In Section 3 we define the generalized slice filtration and establish some basic results. In particular we show that there are two canonical ways of decomposing a generalized slice, similar to how we decomposed $\tilde{s}_0 KO$. We also give precise definitions of the spectra $H_\mu\mathbb{Z}, H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2, \tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$ we use.

In Section 4 we prove our main theorem computing the generalized slices of the hermitian K-theory spectrum KO. This uses crucially a lemma of Voevodsky [24, Proposition 4.4], the detailed study of the geometry of quaternionic Grassmannians by Panin-Walter [18] and the geometric representability of symplectic K-theory by Quaternionic Grassmannians, as proved by Panin-Walter [17] and Schlichting-Tripathi [21].

Finally in Section 5 we compute the homotopy sheaves of $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$. The computation of $\underline{\pi}_i(\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z})_j$ and $\underline{\pi}_i(H_W\mathbb{Z})_j$ for $i \leq 0$ or $j \leq 0$ is a rather formal consequence of results in Section 2. Thus the main work is in computing the higher homotopy sheaves in positive weights. The basic idea is to play off the two triangles $H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[1] \to \tilde{s}_0KO \to \tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{s}_0KO \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}$ against each other. For example, an immediate consequence of the first triangle is that $\underline{\pi}_i(\tilde{s}_0KO)_0$ is given by $\underline{GW}$ if $i = 0$, by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ if $i = 1$, and by 0 else. This implies that $\underline{\pi}_1(H_W\mathbb{Z})_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2$, which is a very special case of Theorem 11. The general case proceeds along the same lines. We should mention that this pulls in many more dependencies than the previous sections, including the resolution of the Milnor conjectures and the computation of the motivic Steenrod algebra.

Acknowledgements. The main impetus for writing this article was a talk by Marco Schlichting where he presented his results about a Grayson-type spectral sequence for Hermitian K-theory, and Markus Spitzweck's question if this spectral sequence can be recovered using the generalized slice filtration.

I would also like to thank Fabien Morel for teaching me most of the things I know about motivic homotopy theory, and for encouragement and support during my attempts at proving these results.

Conventions. Throughout, k is perfect base field. This is because we will make heavy use of the homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)$, the heart of which is the category of homotopy modules [11, Section 5.2].

We use *homological* grading for our t-structures, see for example [8, Definition 1.2.1.1].

Whenever we say "triangle", we actually mean "distinguished triangle".

2 The Category of Effective Spectra

We write $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ for the localising subcategory of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ generated by the objects $\Sigma^\infty X_+$, with $X \in Sm(k)$. By Neeman's version of Brown Representability, the inclusion $i : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}} \to \mathbf{SH}(k)$ has a right adjoint which we denote by r.

Recall that for $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ we denote by $\underline{\pi}_i(E)_j$ the (Nisnevich) sheaf on $Sm(k)$ associated with the presheaf $X \mapsto [\Sigma^\infty X_+ \wedge S^i, E \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j}]$, and then define $\mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\leq 0}$ in the usual fashion. As was known already to Voevodsky, this defines a t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ [11, Theorem 5.2.6], called the *homotopy t-structure*. The heart $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ consists of the category of *homotopy modules*. Let us recall that a homotopy module F_* consists of a sequence of sheaves $F_i \in Shv(Sm(k)_{Nis})$ which are strictly homotopy invariant, and isomorphisms

$F_i \to (F_{i+1})_{-1}$. Here for a sheaf F the *contraction* F_{-1} is as usual defined as $F_{-1}(X) = F(X \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0))/F(X)$. The morphisms of homotopy modules are the evident compatible systems of morphisms. One then shows that in fact for $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$, the homotopy sheaves $\underline{\pi}_i(E)_*$ form (for each i) a homotopy module in a natural way.

For $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ we let $\underline{\pi}_i(E) \in Shv(Sm(k)_{Nis})$ denote $\underline{\pi}_i(E) := \underline{\pi}_i(iE)_0$. Let $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\geq 0}$ consist of those $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ such that $\underline{\pi}_i(E) = 0$ for $i < 0$, and $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\leq 0}$ of those E such that $\underline{\pi}_i(E) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Some or all of the following was already known to Spitzweck-Østvær [22, paragraph before Lemma 5.6].

Proposition 1. *(1) The functors $\underline{\pi}_i : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff}} \to Shv(Sm(k)_{Nis})$ form a conservative collection.*

(2) The category $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff}}_{\geq 0}$ is generated under homotopy colimits and extensions by $\Sigma^\infty X_+ \wedge S^n$, where $n \geq 0, X \in Sm(k)$.

(3) The functor r is t-exact and i is right-t-exact.

(4) The subcategories $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff}}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff}}_{\leq 0}$ constitute a non-degenerate t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff}}$.

(Note that (3) has an evident meaning, even without knowing that $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\leq 0}$ form a t-structure.)

Proof. For $X \in Sm(k)$ and $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ we have the strongly convergent descent spectral sequence $H^p(X, \underline{\pi}_{-q}(E)_0) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^\infty X_+, E[p+q]]$. Consequently if $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ and $\underline{\pi}_i(E) = 0$ for all i then $[\Sigma^\infty X_+, E[n]] = 0$ for all $X \in Sm(k)$ and all n. It follows that $E = 0$, since the $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ generate $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ as a localizing subcategory (by definition). Thus the $\underline{\pi}_i$ form a conservative collection, i.e. we have proved (1).

It follows from the stable connectivity theorem [14, Theorem 6.1.8] that $\Sigma^\infty X_+ \wedge S^n \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\geq 0}$ for $n \geq 0$. Thus if $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\geq 0}$, then the homotopy sheaves of E can be killed off by attaching cells of the form $\Sigma^\infty X_+ \wedge S^n$ for $n \geq 0$, $X \in Sm(k)$. Consequently $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\geq 0}$ is generated under homotopy colimits and extensions by objects of the form claimed in (2).

It follows from adjunction that for $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ we have $\underline{\pi}_i(r(E)) = \underline{\pi}_i(E)_0$. Consequently r is t-exact (even though we have not shown yet that $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\leq 0}$ form a t-structure!). Since i is a left adjoint it commutes with homotopy colimits and so $i(\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\geq 0}) \subset \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq 0}$ by (2), i.e. i is right-t-exact. Thus we have shown (3).

It remains to show (4), i.e. that we have a non-degenerate t-structure. If $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ then $riE \simeq E$. Since r is t-exact, the triangle $r[(iE)_{\geq 0}] \to riE \simeq E \to r[(iE)_{<0}]$ coming from the decomposition of iE in the homotopy t-structure is a decomposition of E into non-negative and negative part as required for a t-structure.

Next we need to show that if $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{>0}$ and $F \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\leq 0}$ then $[E, F] = 0$. The natural map $F \to r[(iF)_{\leq 0}]$ induces an isomorphism on all (effective)

homotopy sheaves, so is a weak equivalence (by the conservativity result (1)). Thus $[E,F] = [E, r[(iF)_{\leq 0}]] = [iE, (iF)_{\leq 0}] = 0$ since i is right-t-exact and so $iE \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{>0}$.

We have thus shown that $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}_{<0}$ form a t-structure. It is non-degenerate by (1). This concludes the proof. □

Terminology. In order to distinguish the t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}$ from the t-structure of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$, we will sometimes call the former the *effective (homotopy) t-structure*.

Remark. We call the heart $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ the category of *effective homotopy modules*. This is further justified below. It follows from work of Garkusha-Panin [3] that it is equivalent to the category of homotopy invariant, quasi-stable, Nisnevich sheaves of abelian groups with linear framed transfers. We contend that this category is equivalent to the category of homotopy invariant Nisnevich sheaves with generalized transfers in the sense of Calmès-Fasel [1] and also in the sense of Morel [10, Definition 5.7].

For $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}$ we will write $\underline{\pi}_i(E) \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ also for the homotopy objects. By the above we may indeed view them as sheaves with additional structure.

The remainder of this section is not used in the computation of the generalized slices of hermitian K-theory, only in the last section.

Proposition 2. *(1) For $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff}}_{\geq 0}$ we have $\underline{\pi}_0(iE)_* = i^{\heartsuit}\underline{\pi}_0(E)$, where $i^{\heartsuit} : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff},\heartsuit} \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}$ is the induced functor $i^{\heartsuit}(M) = (iM)_{\leq 0}$.*

(2) The functor $i^{\heartsuit} : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff},\heartsuit} \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}$ is a fully faithful embedding, and the right adjoint $r : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit} \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathit{eff},\heartsuit}$ satisfies $ri^{\heartsuit} \cong \mathrm{id}$.

Proof. If $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\geq 0}$ then we have the triangle $E_{\geq 1} \to E \to \underline{\pi}_0 E$ and consequently get the triangle $i(E_{\geq 1}) \to iE \to i\underline{\pi}_0 E$. But i is right-t-exact, so the end of the associated long exact sequence of homotopy shaves is $0 = \underline{\pi}_0((iE)_{\geq 1})_* \to \underline{\pi}_0(iE)_* \to \underline{\pi}_0(i\underline{\pi}_0(E))_* = i^{\heartsuit}\underline{\pi}_0 E \to \underline{\pi}_{-1} i(E_{\geq 1}) = 0$, whence the claimed isomorphism of (1).

Let us now prove (2). If $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ then $E = riE = (riE)_{\leq 0} \cong r[(iE)_{\leq 0}] = ri^{\heartsuit}(E)$ (the last equality holds by definition, and the second to last one by t-exactness of r), and thus $ri^{\heartsuit} \cong \mathrm{id}$. If $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ and $F = i^{\heartsuit}E$ then $i^{\heartsuit}rF = i^{\heartsuit}ri^{\heartsuit}E \cong i^{\heartsuit}E = F$. Consequently if $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}$ denotes the essential image of $i^{\heartsuit}$, then $i^{\heartsuit} : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{C} : r$ are inverse equivalences. This concludes the proof. □

Thus the functor $i^{\heartsuit}$ embeds $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ into $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}$, explaining our choice of the name "effective homotopy module". We will call a homotopy module $F_* \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}$ *effective* if it is in the essential image of $i^{\heartsuit}$, i.e. if there exists $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ such that $i^{\heartsuit}E \cong F$.

Recall that if F_* is a homotopy module then we denote by $F_*\langle i\rangle$ the homotopy module $F_* \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i}$, which satisfies $F\langle i\rangle_* = F_{*+i}$.

Lemma 3. *The homotopy module $\underline{K}^{MW}_*$ of Milnor-Witt K-theory is effective. Moreover if F_* is an effective homotopy module then so are $F_*\langle i\rangle$ for all $i \geq 0$. Also cokernels of morphisms of effective homotopy modules are effective, as are (more generally) colimits of effective homotopy modules.*

In particular the following homotopy modules are effective: $\underline{K}^M_* = coker(\eta : \underline{K}^{MW}_*\langle 1\rangle \to \underline{K}^{MW}_*)$, $\underline{K}^W_* = coker(h : \underline{K}^{MW}_* \to \underline{K}^{MW}_*)$ as are e.g. $K^M_*/p, K^{MW}_*[1/p]$ etc.

Proof. The sphere spectrum S is effective, non-negative and satisfies $\underline{\pi}_0(S)_* = \underline{K}^{MW}_*$. Hence Milnor-Witt K-theory is effective by Proposition 2 part (1).

Now let E in $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$. Then for $i \geq 0$ we have $i(E \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i}) \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq 0}$ and so $E \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i} \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\geq 0}$. Consequently $(i^\heartsuit E)\langle i\rangle = i(E \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i})_{\leq 0} = i^\heartsuit[(E \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i})_{\leq 0}]$ is effective.

Let $E \to F \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ be a morphism and form the right exact sequence $E \to F \to C \to 0$. Since $i^\heartsuit$ has a right adjoint it is right exact, whence $i^\heartsuit E \to i^\heartsuit F \to i^\heartsuit C \to 0$ is right exact. It follows that the cokernel of $i^\heartsuit(E) \to i^\heartsuit(F)$ is $i^\heartsuit(C)$, which is effective.

Finally $i^\heartsuit$ preserves colimits, again since it has a right adjoint, so colimits of effective homotopy modules are effective by a similar argument. □

3 The Generalized Slice Filtration

We put $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{veff}} = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\geq 0}$ and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n) = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}} \wedge T^{\wedge n}$, $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{veff}}(n) = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{veff}} \wedge T^{\wedge n}$. Here $T = \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty) \simeq S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$ denotes the Tate object. These are the categories of very n-effective spectra (we just say "very effective" if $n = 0$).

Write $i_n : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)$ for the inclusion, $r_n : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n)$ for the right adjoint, put $f_n = i_n r_n$ and define s_n as the cofibre $f_{n+1}E \to f_n E \to s_n E$. This is of course the slice filtration [25, Section 2].

Similarly we write $\tilde{i}_n : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{veff}}(n) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)$ for the inclusion. There is a right adjoint $\tilde{r}_n$, and we put $\tilde{f}_n = \tilde{i}_n \tilde{r}_n$ and define $\tilde{s}_n$ as the cofibre $\tilde{f}_{n+1}E \to \tilde{f}_n E \to \tilde{s}_n E$. This is the generalized slice filtration [22, Definition 5.5].

The following lemmas will feature ubiquitously in the sequel. Recall that the f_i are triangulated functors. Note also that $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}} \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n), X \mapsto X \wedge T^{\wedge n}$ is an equivalence of categories, so the results of Section 2 apply with only minor notational changes to $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n)$ as well.

Lemma 4. *For $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ we have*

$$T \wedge f_n(E) \simeq f_{n+1}(T \wedge E)$$

and

$$T \wedge \tilde{f}_n(E) \simeq \tilde{f}_{n+1}(T \wedge E).$$

Proof. We have $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n+1) = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n) \wedge T$. Now for $X \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n)$ we compute

$$[X \wedge T, T \wedge f_n E] \cong [X, f_n E] \cong [X, E] \cong [X \wedge T, E \wedge T] \cong [X \wedge T, f_{n+1}(E \wedge T)].$$

Thus $T \wedge f_n E \simeq f_{n+1}(T \wedge E)$ by the Yoneda lemma.

The proof for $\tilde{f}_n$ is exactly the same, with $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}$ replaced by $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{veff}}$ and $f_\bullet$ replaced by $\tilde{f}_\bullet$. □

Lemma 5. *Let $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$. Then*

$$\tilde{f}_n E \simeq i_n(r_n(E)_{\geq n}) \simeq f_n(E_{\geq n}),$$

where in the middle "$\geq n$" denotes truncation in the effective t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(n)$ and on the right it denotes truncation in the t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)$.

Proof. We may assume that $n = 0$ (by Lemma 4, say). We have a factorisation of inclusions $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{veff}}(0) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(0) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)$ and hence the right adjoint factors similarly. But the right adjoint to $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{veff}}(0) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(0)$ is truncation in the effective t-structure by definition, whence the first equivalence. The second equivalence follows from t-exactness of r, i.e. Proposition 1 part (3). □

From now on, we will write $f_n E_{\geq m}$ when convenient. This shall always mean $f_n(E_{\geq m})$ and never $f_n(E)_{\geq m}$. Of course this is the same as $i_n(r_n(E)_{\geq m})$, where now we are truncating in the effective t-structure. Since i_n is an embedding, this is not much of an abuse of notation. In particular in calculations, we will similarly write $s_n E_{\geq m}$ to mean $s_n(E_{\geq m})$, never $s_n(E)_{\geq m}$.

Lemma 6. *For $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ there exists natural triangles*

$$s_0(E_{\geq 1}) \to \tilde{s}_0(E) \to f_0 \underline{\pi}_0(E). \tag{1}$$

and

$$f_1 \underline{\pi}_0(E) \to \tilde{s}_0 \to s_0(E_{\geq 0}). \tag{2}$$

Of course, there are variants of this lemma for $\tilde{s}_n$.

Proof. By Lemma 5 and the definition of $\tilde{s}_0$ we have the canonical triangle

$$f_1 E_{\geq 1} \to f_0 E_{\geq 0} \to \tilde{s}_0 E. \tag{3}$$

We can combine this with the triangles

$$f_1 E_{\geq 1} \to f_0 E_{\geq 1} \to s_0(E_{\geq 1})$$

$$f_0 E_{\geq 1} \to f_0 E_{\geq 0} \to f_0 \underline{\pi}_0(E)$$

and the octahedral axiom to obtain the triangle (1).

Similarly we can combine triangle (3) with

$$f_1 E_{\geq 1} \to f_1 E_{\geq 0} \to f_1 \underline{\pi}_0 E$$

$$f_1 E_{\geq 0} \to f_0 E_{\geq 0} \to s_0 E_{\geq 0}$$

and the octahedral axiom to get triangle (2).

To see that the triangles are functorial, note that they are isomorphic to the functorial triangles

$$(\tilde{s}_0 E)_{\geq 1} \to \tilde{s}_0 E \to (\tilde{s}_0 E)_{\leq 0}$$

(where we truncate in the *effective* t-structure) and

$$f_1(\tilde{s}_0 E) \to E \to s_0(\tilde{s}_0 E).$$

□

Notation. We define spectra for (generalized) motivic cohomology theory as effective covers:

$$H_\mu \mathbb{Z} := f_0 \underline{K}^M$$
$$H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2 := f_0 \underline{K}^M/2$$
$$\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} := f_0 \underline{K}^{MW}.$$

Here $\underline{K}^{MW} \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ denotes the homotopy module of Milnor-Witt K-theory [15, Chapter 3], i.e. $\underline{\pi}_0(S)_*$, where S is the sphere spectrum [15, Theorem 6.40]. Similarly for the other right hand sides. The spectra $H_\mu\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2$ represent motivic cohomology in the sense of Bloch's higher Chow groups [7, Theorems 6.5.1 and 9.0.3]. This agrees with Voevodsky's definition of motivic cohomology [9, Theorem 19.1] (recall that our base field is perfect).

Philosophy. The complex realisation of $\mathbb{G}_m$ is S^1 and the complex realisation of T is S^2. We propose to think of the generalized slices as some kind of "motivic (stable) 1-types". Note that ordinary slices, as well as objects of $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ and $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ would all be reasonable candidates for "motivic 0-types". Triangle (1) shows that every motivic 1-type can be canonically decomposed into an element of $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ and a zero-slice (i.e. a birational motive) – both of which we think of as different kinds motivic 0-types. Thus in triangle (1) we think of $f_0\underline{\pi}_0(E)$ as the π_0 part of the motivic 1-type $\tilde{s}_0 E$ and of $s_0(E_{\geq 1})$ as the π_1 part of the motivic 1-type. Of course, triangle (2) shows that every motivic 1-type can be canonically decomposed into an element of $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$ and a zero-slice, which are again motivic 0-types. Thus in triangle (2) we think of $s_0 E_{\leq 0}$ as the π_0-part of the motivic 1-type and of $f_1\underline{\pi}_0 E$ as the π_1-part.

4 The Generalized Slices of Hermitian K-Theory

We shall now compute $\tilde{s}_n(KO)$. Recall that there exist motivic spaces $GW^{[n]} \in Spc_*(k)$ which represent Hermitian K-theory and come with a canonical weak equivalence $\Omega_T GW^{[n]} \simeq GW^{[n-1]}$. Thus they can be assembled into a motivic T-spectrum $KO = (GW^{[0]}, GW^{[1]}, \dots) \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ also representing Hermitian

n	$\underline{\pi}_0(GW^{[n]})$
0	$\underline{GW}$
1	0
2	$\mathbb{Z}$
3	$\mathbb{Z}/2$

Table 1: Low degree Hermitian K-groups.

K-theory [5]. We remind that this spectrum is *not* connective. We will write $KO^{[n]} := KO \wedge T^{\wedge n}$. Observe that under the adjunction $\Sigma^\infty : Ho(Spc_*(k)) \leftrightarrows \mathbf{SH}(k) : \Omega^\infty$ we have $\Omega^\infty(KO^{[n]}) \simeq GW^{[n]}$.

By Bott periodicity, we have $GW^{[n+4]} \simeq GW^{[n]}$ and so $KO^{[n+4]} \simeq KO^{[n]}$ [20, Proposition 7]. Also recall the low-degree Hermitian K-groups from Table 1 [**?**]

What is an appropriate reference for the table?

Lemma 7. *We have $f_0\underline{\pi}_0(KO^{[2]}) \simeq H_\mu\mathbb{Z}$, and the natural induced map $s_0 KO^{[2]}_{\geq 0} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. There is a canonical map $S \to KO^{[2]}$ inducing $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} = f_0\underline{\pi}_0 S \to f_0\underline{\pi}_0 KO^{[2]}$. This factors through the surjection $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}$, and the induced map is an isomorphism because it is an isomorphism on the (single) homotopy sheaf. (The point of this elaboration is that even though we know that $f_0\underline{\pi}_0 KO^{[2]}$ and $H_\mu\mathbb{Z}$ are objects of $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$ which have the same underlying homotopy sheaf, a priori the transfers could be different.)

The rest of the proof essentially uses an argument of Voevodsky [24, Section 4]. Write

$$\Sigma^\infty_s \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \leftrightarrows \mathbf{SH}(k) : \Omega^\infty_s$$

for the canonical adjunction. Note that one may define a slice filtration for $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k)$ in just the same way as for $\mathbf{SH}(k)$.

It is enough to show that $f_0(KO^{[2]}_{\geq 1}) \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}}(1)$, i.e. that $s_0(KO^{[2]}_{\geq 1}) \simeq 0$. The functor $\Omega^\infty_s : \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff}} \to \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k)$ is conservative [24, Lemma 3.3] and commutes with taking slices [7, Theorems 9.0.3 and 7.1.1]. Since also $\Omega^\infty_s H_\mu\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ we find that it is enough to show that $s_0^{S^1}(\Omega^\infty_s KO^{[2]}_{\geq 0}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. (This is precisely how Voevodsky computes $s_0 KGL$, but since his conjectures have been proved by Levine our result is unconditional.)

We know that $\Omega^\infty KO^{[2]}_{\geq 0} \simeq GW^{[2]} \in Spc_*(k)$ (both spaces represent symplectic K-theory). The geometric representability theorem of Panin-Walter [17] (for the case of symplectic K-theory, which is all we need here) and Schlichting-Tripathi [21] (for the general case) implies that $GW^{[2]} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times HGr$.

Thus by [24, Proposition 4.4 and proof of Lemma 4.6] the required computation $s_0^{S^1}(\Omega^\infty KO^{[2]}_{\geq 0}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ follows from the next result (which is completely analogous to [24, Lemma 4.7]). □

Lemma 8. *Let $HGr(m,n)$ denote the quaternionic Grassmannian of [18], with an arbitrary base point. Then $\Sigma^\infty_s HGr(m,n) \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \wedge T$.*

Proof. If X is a smooth scheme, U an open subscheme and Z the closed complement which also happens to be smooth, then by homotopy purity [16, Theorem 3.2.23] there is a triangle

$$\Sigma^\infty_s X_+ \to \Sigma^\infty_s U_+ \to \Sigma^\infty_s Th(N_{Z/X}),$$

where $N_{Z/X}$ denotes the normal bundle and Th the Thom space (which is canonically pointed). It follows from the octahedral axiom (for example) that we may also use base points inside X and U, i.e. that there is a triangle

$$\Sigma^\infty_s X \to \Sigma^\infty_s U \to \Sigma^\infty_s Th(N_{Z/X})$$

(provided that X and U are pointed, of course).

As a next step, if E is a trivial vector bundle (of positive rank r) on Z then $\Sigma^\infty_s Th(E) \simeq T^{\wedge r} \wedge \Sigma^\infty Z_+ \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \wedge T$ is 1-effective. Since all vector bundles are Zariski-locally trivial and $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \wedge T$ is closed under homotopy colimits, the same holds for an arbitrary vector bundle. Consequently $Th(N_{Z/X}) \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \wedge T$ and so $\Sigma^\infty_s X \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \wedge T$ if and only if $\Sigma^\infty_s U \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \wedge T$.

We finally come to quaternionic Grassmannians. The space $HGr(n,m)$ has a closed subscheme $N^+(m,n)$ with open complement $Y(m,n)$ [18, Introduction]. The space $N^+(m,n)$ is a vector bundle over $HGr(m,n-1)$ [18, Theorem 4.1(a)] and so smooth. The open complement $Y(m,n)$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-weakly equivalent to $HGr(m-1,n-1)$ [18, Theorem 5.1].

Consequently $\Sigma^\infty_s HGr(m,n) \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \wedge T$ if and only if $\Sigma^\infty_s HGr(m-1,n-1) \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k) \wedge T$. The claim is clear if $n = 0$, so the general case follows. □

We will use the follow easy result, which is surely well known.

Lemma 9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a non-degenerate t-category and*

$$X \to Y \to Z$$

a triangle. If $\pi^{\mathcal{C}}_0(Y) \to \pi^{\mathcal{C}}_0(Z)$ is an epimorphism in $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$, then there is a triangle

$$X_{\geq 0} \to Y_{\geq 0} \to Z_{\geq 0}.$$

Proof. Let F be the homotopy fibre of $Y_{\geq 0} \to Z_{\geq 0}$. Since $\pi^{\mathcal{C}}_0 Y \to \pi^{\mathcal{C}}_0 Z$ is epi we have $\pi_{-1} F = 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ (the latter by non-degeneracy of the t-structure). We shall show that $F \simeq X_{\geq 0}$.

By TR3, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z_{\geq 0}[-1] & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & Y_{\geq 0} & \longrightarrow & Z_{\geq 0} \\ \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\alpha}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z[-1] & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z. \end{array}$$

The composite $F \to X \to X_{<0}$ is zero because $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and consequently α factors as $F \xrightarrow{\alpha'} X_{\geq 0} \to X$. By the five lemma, α' induces isomorphisms on all homotopy objects, so is an isomorphism by non-degeneracy. □

We now come to the main result.

Theorem 10. *The generalized slices of Hermitian K-theory are given as follows:*

$$\tilde{s}_n KO \simeq T^{\wedge n} \wedge \begin{cases} \tilde{s}_0(KO) & n \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2 & n \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ H_\mu \mathbb{Z} & n \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \\ 0 & n \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

Moreover the canonical decomposition (1) *from Lemma 6 of* $\tilde{s}_0(KO)$ *is given by*

$$H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2[1] \to \tilde{s}_0(KO) \to \tilde{H}\mathbb{Z},$$

and decomposition (2) *is given by*

$$\mathbb{G}_m \wedge H_W \mathbb{Z} \to \tilde{s}_0 KO \to H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Proof. Since $KO \wedge T^{\wedge 4} \simeq KO$, the periodicity is clear, and we need only deal with $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. It follows from Lemma 4 that $\tilde{s}_n KO = T^{\wedge n} \wedge \tilde{s}_0(T^{\wedge -n} \wedge KO) = \tilde{s}_0 KO^{[-n]}$, and similarly for s_n.

We first deal with $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since then $\underline{\pi}_0(KO^{[-n]})_{-1} = 0$ (see again Table 1) we have $f_1 \underline{\pi}_0(KO^{[-n]}) = 0$ and hence by decomposition (2) from Lemma 6 it is enough to show: $s_0(KO^{[-1]}_{\geq 0}) = H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2, s_0(KO^{[-2]}_{\geq 0}) = H_\mu \mathbb{Z}$ and $s_0(KO^{[-3]}_{\geq 0}) = 0$. The case $n = 2$ is Lemma 7.

We shall now use the triangle [19, Theorem 4.4]

$$\mathbb{G}_m \wedge KO \xrightarrow{\eta} KO \xrightarrow{f} KGL \xrightarrow{h} KO \wedge T = KO^{[1]}.$$

Smashing with $T^{\wedge 2}$ and applying f_0 we get (using that $T \wedge KGL \simeq KGL$)

$$f_0 KO^{[2]} \to f_0 KGL \to f_0 KO^{[3]}.$$

Now $\underline{\pi}_0(KGL) = \mathbb{Z} \to \underline{\pi}_0 KO^{[3]} = \mathbb{Z}/2$ is epi (because all symplectic forms have even rank, so the forgetful map $\underline{\pi}_0(KO^{[2]}) \to \underline{\pi}_0(KGL) = \mathbb{Z}$ has image $2\mathbb{Z}$), so we may apply Lemma 9 to $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}$ and this triangle. Consequently there is a triangle

$$s_0 KO^{[2]}_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{h} s_0 KGL_{\geq 0} \to s_0 KO^{[3]}_{\geq 0}.$$

Now $f_0 KGL \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\text{eff}}_{\geq 0}$ since K-theory of smooth schemes is connective. Thus the triangle is isomorphic to

$$H_\mu \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} H_\mu \mathbb{Z} \to s_0 KO^{[3]}_{\geq 0}$$

yielding the required computation $s_0 KO^{[3]}_{\geq 0} \simeq H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2$.

By a similar argument, using that $\mathbb{Z} = \underline{\pi}_0 KGL \xrightarrow{h} \underline{\pi}_0 KO^{[2]} = \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism, we conclude from

$$f_0 KO^{[1]} \to f_0 KGL \to f_0 KO^{[2]}$$

that $s_0 KO^{[1]}_{\geq 0} = 0$.

We have thus handled the cases $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Consider the triangle

$$f_0 KO^{[3]} \to f_0 KGL \to f_0 KO^{[4]}.$$

We have $\underline{\pi}_0 KO^{[3]} = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\underline{\pi}_0 KGL = \mathbb{Z}$, whence $\underline{\pi}_0 KO^{[3]} \to \underline{\pi}_0 KGL$ must be the zero map and consequently $\underline{\pi}_1 KO^{[4]} \to \underline{\pi}_0 KO^{[3]}$ must be epi. It follows that we may apply Lemma 9 to the rotated triangle

$$f_0 KGL[-1] \to f_0 KO^{[4]}[-1] \to f_0 KO^{[3]}.$$

Now $(E[-1])_{\geq 0} \simeq E_{\geq 1}[-1]$ and so, rotating back, we get a triangle

$$s_0 KO^{[3]}_{\geq 0} \to s_0 KGL_{\geq 1} \to s_0 KO^{[4]}_{\geq 1}.$$

I claim that $s_0 KGL_{\geq 1} = 0$. Indeed we have a triangle

$$s_0 KGL_{\geq 1} \to s_0 KGL_{\geq 0} \to s_0 \underline{\pi}_0 KGL,$$

and the two terms on the right are isomorphic by what we have already said.

We thus conclude that $s_0 KO^{[0]}_{\geq 1} \simeq s_0 KO^{[4]}_{\geq 1} \simeq s_0 KO^{[3]}_{\geq 0}[1] \simeq H_\mu \mathbb{Z}/2[1]$. The map $S \to KO$ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} = f_0 \underline{\pi}_0 S \to f_0 \underline{\pi}_0 KO$, and hence the decomposition (1) of $\tilde{s}_0 KO$ follows.

It remains to establish the second decomposition. We first show that $s_0(KO_{\geq 0}) = H_\mu \mathbb{Z}$. For this we consider the triangle

$$f_0 KO^{[0]} \to f_0 KGL \to f_0 KO^{[1]}.$$

Since $\underline{\pi}_0 KO^{[1]} = 0$, by Lemma 9 we get a triangle

$$s_0 KO^{[0]}_{\geq 0} \to s_0 KGL_{\geq 0} \to s_0 KO^{[1]}_{\geq 0}$$

and we have already seen that $s_0 KO^{[1]}_{\geq 0} = 0$ and $s_0 KGL_{\geq 0} = H_\mu \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally the map $\underline{K}^W \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\eta} \underline{K}^{MW} \to \underline{\pi}_0 KO$ induces an isomorphism on $\underline{\pi}_*(\bullet)_{-1}$ and consequently

$$\mathbb{G}_m \wedge H_W \mathbb{Z} \simeq f_1(\underline{K}^W \wedge \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq f_1 \underline{K}^{MW} \simeq f_1 \underline{\pi}_0 KO,$$

where the first equivalence is by Lemma 4. This concludes the proof. □

5 The Homotopy Sheaves of $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$

In this section we prove the following result.

Theorem 11 (Morel's Structure Conjecture)**.** *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic different from two.*

The natural maps $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} = f_0\underline{K}^{MW} \to \underline{K}^{MW}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z} = f_0\underline{K}^{W} \to \underline{K}^{W}$ induce isomorphisms on $\underline{\pi}_0(\bullet)_*$. Moreover the natural maps $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2$ induce isomorphisms on $\underline{\pi}_i(\bullet)_*$ for $i \neq 0$.

The proof will proceed through a series of lemmas.

Throughout this subsection, we fix the perfect field k of characteristic not two. Actually to only place where we explicitly use the assumption on the characteristic is in Lemma 14 below.

Lemma 12. *We have $\underline{\pi}_0(\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z})_* = \underline{K}^{MW}_*$ and $\underline{\pi}_0(H_W\mathbb{Z})_* = \underline{K}^{W}_*$.*

Proof. This follows from the results of the second half of Section 2. Namely the homotopy modules $\underline{K}^{MW}$ and $\underline{K}^{W}$ are effective (Lemma 3), so

$$\underline{\pi}_0(f_0\underline{K}^{MW})_* = \underline{\pi}_0(ir\underline{K}^{MW})_* \cong i^\heartsuit r\underline{K}^{MW} \cong \underline{K}^{MW},$$

(where the first equality is by definition, the second is by Proposition 2 part (i), and the third is by part (ii) of that proposition and effectivity of $\underline{K}^{MW}$) and similarly for $\underline{K}^{W}$. □

Lemma 13. *We have*

$$\underline{\pi}_i(\mathbb{G}_m \wedge H_W\mathbb{Z})_0 = \begin{cases} \underline{K}^W_1 & i = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & i = 1 \\ 0 & else \end{cases}$$

The canonical map $H_W\mathbb{Z} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2$ induces an isomorphism on $\underline{\pi}_1(\bullet)_1$.

Proof. By Theorem 10, triangle (2) from Lemma 6 for $\tilde{s}_0KO$ reads

$$\mathbb{G}_m \wedge H_W\mathbb{Z} \to \tilde{s}_0KO \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}.$$

We know the $\underline{\pi}_i(\bullet)_0$ of $\tilde{s}_0KO$ from Theorem 10, and we know $\underline{\pi}_i(H_\mu\mathbb{Z})_0$ from the definition. Since $\underline{\pi}_0(\mathbb{G}_m \wedge H_W\mathbb{Z}) = \underline{K}^W_1 = \underline{I}$ by Lemma 12 and [13, Théorème 2.1], the map $\underline{\pi}_0(\mathbb{G}_m \wedge H_W\mathbb{Z}) \to \underline{\pi}_0(\tilde{s}_0KO)$ is injective and the claim about the remaining $\underline{\pi}_i(H_W\mathbb{Z})_0$ follows from the long exact sequence of the triangle.

To prove the last claim, consider the diagram of homotopy modules

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{K}^M & \xrightarrow{h} & \underline{K}^{MW} & \longrightarrow & \underline{K}^W \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{K}^M & \xrightarrow{2} & \underline{K}^M & \longrightarrow & \underline{K}^M/2. \end{array}$$

Applying f_0, both sequences become exact as sequences in the abelian category $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}$, i.e. the sequences of sheaves $\mathbb{Z} \to \underline{GW} \to \underline{W}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ are exact. Thus we get a morphism of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_\mu\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{h} & \tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & H_W\mathbb{Z} \\ \| & & \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle c}\downarrow \\ H_\mu\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & H_\mu\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & H\mu\mathbb{Z}/2, \end{array}$$

where c is the canonical map we are interested in. A diagram chase (using Lemma 12) concludes that $\underline{\pi}_1(c)_1$ is an isomorphism as claimed. □

Recall that the motivic Hopf map $\eta : \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a stable map of the same name $\eta : \mathbb{G}_m \to S$. For $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ we write E/η for a cone on the map $\mathrm{id} \wedge \eta : E \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \to E \wedge S \simeq E$. Recall also the map $\tau : H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[1] \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$.

Lemma 14. *There is an isomorphism $H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta \simeq H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2]$. Here $H_W\mathbb{Z} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2$ is the canonical map, and the boundary map $H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2] \to H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m[1]$ has the property that the composite*

$$H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2] \to H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m[1] \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m[1]$$

is $\tau[1]$.

Proof. It follows from the long exact sequence of homotopy sheaves and Lemma 13 that $\underline{\pi}_i(H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta)$ is given by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ if $i \in \{0, 2\}$ and is zero otherwise. Consider the triangle

$$(H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta)_{\geq 1} \to H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta \to (H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta)_{\leq 0}.$$

We have $H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta = s_0(H_W\mathbb{Z})$ (see also Proposition 17(ii)) and so $H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta$ is an *effective motive*. We may consider the above triangle as coming from the homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}$. Since the heart of the category of effective motives can be modeled as homotopy invariant sheaves with transfers (here we need the assumption on the characteristic), we find that $(H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta)_{\geq 1} \simeq H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2]$ and $(H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta)_{\leq 0} \simeq H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2, H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[3]) = 0$ the triangle splits.

The composite $\alpha : H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2] \to H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m[1] \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m[1]$ defines a cohomology operation of weight $(0, 1)$. By the computation of the motivic Steenrod algebra [6, Theorem 1.1 (1)], this is either $\tau[1]$ or 0. (Here again we use the assumption that $char(k) \neq 2$.) Consider again the triangle $H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \to H_W\mathbb{Z} \to H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta \simeq H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2]$, and its morphism to the triangle for $H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2/\eta$. Since $\underline{\pi}_1(H_W\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\underline{\pi}_1(H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, the boundary map $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \underline{\pi}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2[2]) \to \underline{\pi}_1(H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m)$ must be an isomorphism. Since also $\underline{\pi}_1(H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m) \to \underline{\pi}_1(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m)$ is an isomorphism by the last sentence of Lemma 13, we conclude that α is not the zero map. □

We will use the following easy fact about "split triangles".

Lemma 15. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangulated category and*

$$A \to B \oplus C \to D$$

a triangle. Then $A \to B$ is an isomorphism if and only if $C \to D$ is an isomorphism.

In particular if $0 \to A \to B \oplus C \to D \to 0$ is an exact sequence in an abelian category, then $A \to B$ is an isomorphism if and only if $C \to D$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since the axioms of triangulated categories are self dual, it suffices to show one implication. Thus suppose that $C \to D$ is an isomorphism.

Let $E \in \mathcal{C}$. Consider the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to [E, D[-1]] \xrightarrow{\partial} [E, A] \to [E, B] \oplus [E, C] \to [E, D] \xrightarrow{\partial} [E, A[1]] \to \dots.$$

Since $C \to D$ is an isomorphism $[E, C[i]] \to [E, D[i]]$ is surjective, and thus $\partial \equiv 0$. We hence get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to [E, A] \xrightarrow{(i,j)} [E, B] \oplus [E, C] \xrightarrow{(p\,q)} [E, D] \to 0.$$

But in such a situation surjectivity of q implies surjectivity of i, and injectivity of q implies injectivity of i. Hence $[E, A] \to [E, B]$ is an isomorphism. Since E was arbitrary, we conclude by the Yoneda lemma. □

Lemma 16. *The canonical map $(H_W\mathbb{Z}) \to (H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)$ induces an isomorphism on $\underline{\pi}_k(\bullet)_n$ for $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence of homotopy sheaves associated with the triangle $H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \to H_W\mathbb{Z} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\cdots \to \underline{\pi}_{k+1}(H_W\mathbb{Z})_n \to \underline{\pi}_{k+1}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n \oplus \underline{\pi}_{k-1}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_W\mathbb{Z})_{n+1} \\ \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_W\mathbb{Z})_n \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n \oplus \underline{\pi}_{k-2}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n \to \dots\end{aligned}$$

We shall use induction on n. If $n = 0$ (or $n \leq 0$) the claim is clear. We may assume by induction that $\underline{\pi}_k(H_W\mathbb{Z})_n \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n$ is an isomorphism for $k \geq 1$. It follows that (still for $k \geq 1$) we get short exact sequences

$$0 \to \underline{\pi}_{k+1}(H_W\mathbb{Z})_n \to \underline{\pi}_{k+1}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n \oplus \underline{\pi}_{k-1}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_W\mathbb{Z})_{n+1} \to 0.$$

Lemma 15 now implies that $\underline{\pi}_{k-1}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_W\mathbb{Z})_{n+1}$ is an isomorphism. By the last part of Lemma 14 the composite

$$\underline{\pi}_{k-1}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_n \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_W\mathbb{Z})_{n+1} \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_{n+1}$$

(where the last map is the canonical one) is τ and hence is an isomorphism for $k \geq 1$ by Voevodsky's resolution of the Milnor conjectures [26] (which implies that $H^{*,*}(k, \mathbb{Z}/2) = K^M_*/2[\tau]$).

It follows that $\underline{\pi}_k(H_W\mathbb{Z})_{n+1} \to \underline{\pi}_k(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_{n+1}$ must also be an isomorphism. This concludes the induction and hence the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 11. It remains to prove the claim about $\underline{\pi}_i(\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z})_*$ for $i > 0$. The exact sequence $0 \to \underline{K}^{MW}_* \to \underline{K}^M_* \oplus \underline{K}^W_* \to \underline{K}^M_*/2 \to 0$ [13, Théorème 5.3] induces a triangle

$$\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} \to H_W\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2.$$

By Lemma 12, the map $\underline{\pi}_0(\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z})_* \to \underline{\pi}_0(H_W\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z})_*$ is just the canonical map $\underline{K}^{MW}_* \to \underline{K}^M_* \oplus \underline{K}^W_*$ and thus injective. Hence by Lemma 9 we get a triangle

$$(\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 1} \to (H_W\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 1} \oplus (H_\mu\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 1} \to (H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_{\geq 1}.$$

Since $(H_W\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 1} \to (H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2)_{\geq 1}$ is an equivalence by Lemma 16, we find that $(\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 1} \to (H_\mu\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 1}$ is an equivalence by Lemma 15. This concludes the proof. □

The Slices of $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_W\mathbb{Z}$. A minor extension of part the argument of the above proof also yields the following.

Proposition 17. *(i) The canonical map $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} \to H_W\mathbb{Z}$ induces an isomorphism on f_n and s_n for $n \geq 1$. (ii) For $n \geq 0$ we have $f_n H_W\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n} H_W\mathbb{Z}$. (iii) We have $s_0\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} \simeq H_\mu\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2]$, and for $n \geq 0$ we have $s_n H_W\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}(H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2])$.*

Proof. Since $\underline{\pi}_i(\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z})_{-n} \to \underline{\pi}_i(H_W\mathbb{Z})_{-n}$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 1$, claim (i) is clear. For claim (ii), it follows from Lemma 4 that $f_n H_W\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n} f_0(H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{-\wedge n})$. But $\eta^n : H_W\mathbb{Z} \to H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{-\wedge n}$ induces an isomorphism on $\underline{\pi}_i(\bullet)_0$ for $n \geq 0$, so $f_0(H_W\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{-\wedge n}) \simeq f_0(H_W\mathbb{Z}) = H_W\mathbb{Z}$.

To prove (iii), using the triangle $\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z} \to H_W\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z} \to H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2$ one finds using Lemma 15 that the claim for $s_0\tilde{H}\mathbb{Z}$ reduces to the one for $H_W\mathbb{Z}$. By (ii), $s_n H_W\mathbb{Z} \simeq (\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n+1} H_W\mathbb{Z})/\eta \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n+1}(H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta)$, and $H_W\mathbb{Z}/\eta \simeq H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus H_\mu\mathbb{Z}/2[2]$ by Lemma 14. □

References

[1] Baptiste Calmès and Jean Fasel. Finite Chow-Witt correspondences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.2989*, 2014.

[2] Grigory Garkusha and Ivan Panin. On the motivic spectral sequence. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, pages 1–34, 2012.

[3] Grigory Garkusha and Ivan Panin. Homotopy invariant presheaves with framed transfers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.00884*, 2015.

[4] Daniel R Grayson. The motivic spectral sequence. In *Handbook of K-theory*, pages 39–69. Springer, 2005.

[5] Jens Hornbostel. A1-representability of Hermitian K-theory and Witt groups. *Topology*, 44(3):661–687, 2005.

[6] Marc Hoyois, Shane Kelly, and Paul Arne Østvær. The motivic Steenrod algebra in positive characteristic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.5690*, 2013.

[7] Marc Levine. The homotopy coniveau tower. *Journal of Topology*, 1(1):217–267, 2008.

[8] Jacob Lurie. Higher Algebra, 2011.

[9] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*. American Mathematical Soc., 2006.

[10] Fabien Morel. On the Friedlander-Milnor conjecture for groups of small rank.

[11] Fabien Morel. An introduction to $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory. *ICTP Trieste Lecture Note Ser. 15*, pages 357–441, 2003.

[12] Fabien Morel. On the motivic π_0 of the sphere spectrum. In *Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory*, pages 219–260. Springer, 2004.

[13] Fabien Morel. Sur les puissances de l'idéal fondamental de l'anneau de Witt. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 79(4):689–703, 2004.

[14] Fabien Morel. The stable $\mathbb{A}^1$-connectivity theorems. *K-theory*, 35(1):1–68, 2005.

[15] Fabien Morel. $\mathbb{A}^1$-*Algebraic Topology over a Field*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.

[16] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory of schemes. *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 90(1):45–143, 1999.

[17] Ivan Panin and Charles Walter. On the motivic commutative ring spectrum BO. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1011.0650*, 2010.

[18] Ivan Panin and Charles Walter. Quaternionic Grassmannians and Pontryagin classes in algebraic geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1011.0649*, 2010.

[19] Oliver Röndigs and Paul Arne Østvær. Slices of hermitian K-theory and Milnor's conjecture on quadratic forms. *To appear in Geometry & Topology*, 2013.

[20] Marco Schlichting. The Mayer-Vietoris principle for Grothendieck-Witt groups of schemes. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 179(2):349–433, 2010.

[21] Marco Schlichting and Girja S Tripathi. Geometric models for higher Grothendieck–Witt groups in $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory. *Mathematische Annalen*, 362(3-4):1143–1167, 2015.

[22] Markus Spitzweck and Paul Arne Østvær. Motivic twisted K–theory. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 12(1):565–599, 2012.

[23] Andrei Suslin. On the Grayson spectral sequence. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics-Interperiodica Translation*, 241:202–237, 2003.

[24] V. Voevodsky. A possible new approach to the motivic spectral sequence for algebraic K-theory, Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000) 371–379. *Contemp. Math*, 293.

[25] V. Voevodsky. Open Problems in the Motivic Stable Homotopy Theory , I. In *International Press Conference on Motives, Polylogarithms and Hodge Theory*. International Press, 2002.

[26] Vladimir Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/2$-coefficients. *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 98:59–104, 2003.

[27] Vladimir Voevodsky. On the zero slice of the sphere spectrum. *arXiv preprint math/0301013*, 2003.